

# **MRI Rectal Staging Template (2015)**



This document was developed by Drs Blair Macdonald, Eisar Al-Sukhni, Laurent Milot, Mark Fruitman, Gina Brown, Selina Schmocker and Erin Kennedy for the Cancer Services Innovation Partnership – a joint initiative of Cancer Care Ontario and the Canadian Cancer Society. This template has been updated from 2012.

CLIN	ICAL INFORMATION						
i)	Clinical requisition:	[Free Text]					
IMA	GING PROCEDURE DE	SCRIPTION					
i)	Image quality:  Adequate  Suboptimal  Non-diagnostic			nostic			
ii)	Magnet: 🛛 1.5T 🔅 3T and Sequences						
iii)	Sequences: [Free T guide.]	ext] [Insert rectal cance	er staging protocol. S	hould include mini	mum sequence	es recommended in User's	
FIND	NGS						
1. TU	IMOR LOCATION AND	CHARACTERISTICS					
i)	Tumor Location (from	anal verge):	Low 0- 5.0cm	□ Mid 5.1- 10.0	)cm	□High 10.1- 15.0cm	
ii)	Anal verge to distal tu	imor margin: [] d	m				
iii)	Tumor at or below the	e puborectalis sling: 🗆	No 🗆 Yes		ertain		
iv)	Distance of lowest ext	tent of tumor from top	of anal sphincter: [	] cm			
v)	Relationship to the ar	nterior peritoneal reflec	ction: $\Box$ above	$\Box$ straddles	$\Box$ below	$\Box$ not able to assess	
vi)	Craniocaudal length o	of the tumor: [] cr	n				
vii)	Clock face of tumor: [] o'clock to [] o'clock						
viii)	Polypoid/Annular/Ser	mi-annular:[]					
ix)	Mucinous: 🗆 No 🗆 Y	′es □Uncerta	iin				
2. EX	TRAMURAL DEPTH OF	INVASION AND MR T-	CATEGORY				
i) E	extramural depth of inv	asion (Use 0mm for T1	or T2 tumour): [	_] mm			
ii)T	category:						
	T1 or T2						
	T2/early T3 (including spiculations)						
[	<b>□</b> T3						
	□T3/possible T4*						
	□T4*						
* ple	ase indicate structures	with possible invasion.	Specify laterality, s	sequence and slice	e#: (see list be	low)	
•	Anterior peritoneal ref	lection (T4a tumor)					
•	Puborectalis • Lev	vator ani • Obturato	r • Piriformis	<ul> <li>Pelvic bones(sp</li> </ul>	ecify) • Sa	acrum (Specify Level)	
•	Bladder • Ure	eter(s) • Prostate	• Uterus	<ul> <li>Vagina</li> </ul>	• U	rethra	

Other

٠

iii) For low rectal tumors (maximum tumor depth at or below the puborectalis sling):

 $\Box$  Not applicable (tumor above the puborectalis sling)

Level 1 (submucosa only, no involvement of internal sphincter)

Level 2 (confined to the internal sphincter; no involvement of intersphincteric fat)

□ Level 3 (intersphincteric fat involved)

Vascular Involvement of Iliac Vessels

•

Level 4 (involves external sphincter or beyond)



## 3. RELATIONSHIP OF THE TUMOR TO MESORECTAL FASCIA (MRF)

i) Shortest distance\_\_\_\_\_mm of the definitive tumour border to the MRF is: At [\_\_\_\_] o'clock; <u>OR</u> ONOT able to assess; <u>OR</u> Not applicable (tumor only involves upper anterior peritonealized rectum [T4a])

ii)	Are there any tumour spice	ulations closer to the MRF?	□No □Yes*
	*If Yes, spiculations are _	mm from the MRF at	o'clock

### 4. EXTRAMURAL VENOUS INVASION

i)	Extramural Venous Invasi	on (EMVI) :	□Absent	Equivocal	□ Positive*
	*If Positive, EMVI is	mm from th	e MRF at	oʻclock	

## 5. MESORECTAL LYMPH NODES AND TUMOUR DEPOSITS

i) Any suspicious mesorectal lymph nodes/tumor deposits: □No □Yes\*
 (suspicious = mixed signal or irregular borders, and/or short axis ≥8mm NB: Size threshold should not be used alone. Assess signal and borders to increase sensitivity):

\* If Yes, the most suspicious node/tumor deposit is [ $\Box$  above,  $\Box$  at,  $\Box$  below] the tumor with minimum distance \_\_\_\_\_ mm from the MRF at \_\_\_\_\_ o'clock.

### 6. EXTRAMESORECTAL LYMPH NODES

<ol> <li>Any suspicious extramesorectal lymph nodes:</li> </ol>	□No	□Yes*	
(suspicious = mixed signal or irregular borders, and/or short axis $\geq$ 10mm	. NB: Size threshold	should not be used alor	ne. Asses

(suspicious = mixed signal or irregular borders, and/or short axis ≥10mm. NB: Size threshold should not be used alone. Assess signal and borders to increase sensitivity)

#### \* If Yes, location and laterality of suspicious nodes:

🗌 Int. Iliac	🗌 Ext. Iliac	Common Iliac	Obturator	Inguinal	Other:
🗆 R 🗆 L	🗆 R 🗆 L	🗆 R 🗆 L	🗆 R 🗆 L	🗆 R 🗆 L	🗆 R 🗆 L
ii) Is the IMA node	station in the field	of view:	□No	□Yes*	
*If Yes, are t	hese nodes suspici	ous	□No	□Yes	

## 7. OTHER FINDINGS (COMPLICATIONS, METASTASES, LIMITATIONS)

[Free Text]

## **IMPRESSIONS**

MRI rectal cancer T category is: \_\_\_\_\_ Maximum EMD of invasion is: \_\_\_\_\_ Minimum tumor to MRF distance is: \_\_\_\_\_ Low rectal tumor component: □Yes □No Mesorectal nodes/tumor deposits: □Negative □Suspicious EMVI: □Absent □Equivocal □Positive Extramesorectal nodes: □Negative □Suspicious

Comment: [free text]

