

PET Recommendation Report 19

A Quality Initiative of the Program in Evidence-Based Care (PEBC), Cancer Care Ontario (CCO)

Gallium-68 PET Imaging in Neuroendocrine Tumours

S. Singh, R. Poon, R. Wong, U. Metser

Report Date: November 26, 2018

For information about this document, please contact Dr. Simron Singh, the lead author, through the PEBC via: Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: <u>ccopgi@mcmaster.ca</u>

For information about the PEBC and the most current version of all reports, please visit the CCO website at http://www.cancercare.on.ca/ or contact the PEBC office at: Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: ccopgi@mcmaster.ca

PEBC Report Citation (Vancouver Style): Singh S, Poon R, Wong R, Metser U. Gallium-68 PET Imaging in Neuroendocrine Tumours. Toronto (ON): Cancer Care Ontario; 2018 November 26. Program in Evidence-Based Care Recommendation Report No.: PET-19, available on the CCO website.

Copyright

This report is copyrighted by Cancer Care Ontario; the report and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced without the express written permission of Cancer Care Ontario. Cancer Care Ontario reserves the right at any time, and at its sole discretion, to change or revoke this authorization.

Disclaimer

Care has been taken in the preparation of the information contained in this report. Nonetheless, any person seeking to apply or consult the report is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances or seek out the supervision of a qualified clinician. Cancer Care Ontario makes no representation or guarantees of any kind whatsoever regarding the report content or use or application and disclaims any responsibility for its application or use in any way.

Gallium-68 PET Imaging in Neuroendocrine Tumours

Section 1: Recommendations and Key Evidence

OBJECTIVES

To provide a summary of evidence surrounding the clinical utility of Gallium-68 (⁶⁸Ga) positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in patients with neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) and recommendations for their use in Ontario.

TARGET POPULATION

Adult and pediatric patients with suspected or diagnosed well-differentiated NETs.

INTENDED USERS

This recommendation report is intended to guide the Ontario PET Steering Committee with respect to the development of indications in the context of the patient management pathway. This recommendation report may also be useful to inform clinicians who are involved in the care of patients with NETs.

RECOMMENDATIONS, KEY EVIDENCE, AND INTERPRETATION OF EVIDENCE

Recommendation 1
⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET or PET/computed tomography (CT) is recommended for
the initial diagnosis of adult patients with clinical (e.g., signs, symptoms) and biochemical
(e.g., markers) suspicion of NETs but for whom conventional imaging is negative or
equivocal or for whom biopsy is not easily obtained.
Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 1
• PET or PET/CT functions as a supplement to and does not replace biopsy for establishing a
definite diagnosis.
• It is unknown whether pediatric patients would benefit from PET or PET/CT since there is
a lack of evidence to support making any recommendation for this specific population.
Key Evidence for Recommendation 1
• Six studies assessed the sensitivity and specificity of PET or PET/CT for the initial
diagnosis of NETs [1-6]. The sensitivity and specificity on a per-patient based analysis
ranged from 81% to 100%, and 85% to 100%, respectively, with a summarized sensitivity of
91% (95% confidence interval [CI], 85% to 94%) and specificity of 94% (95% CI, 86% to 98%).
Interpretation of Evidence for Recommendation 1
The meta-analysis of six studies showed that PET or PET/CT is highly sensitive and specific for
evaluating patients with a suspicion of NETs at initial diagnosis. PET or PET/CT does not
negate the need for a biopsy as biopsy remains the gold standard for preventing unnecessary
additional tests or procedures due to the potential for false-positive scans. In addition, other
disease-specific information (e.g., ki-67, differentiation) can be obtained from a tissue biopsy
that is not determinable from PET or PET/CT. Poorly differentiated NETs often have reduced
expression of somatostatin receptors that may lead to false-negative scans; even so,
downstream testing would not be considered without other corroborating data. Overall, study
quality was limited by the uncertainties surrounding the blinded interpretation of the index
test (PET or PET/CT) and reference standard (histology and/or follow-up). Unclear risk may
be a consequence of incomplete reporting; however, it is unknown whether this would have
an impact on the results or conclusions of the study.

Recommendation 2

⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET or PET/CT is recommended for the staging of adult patients with localized primary NETs and/or limited metastasis where definitive surgery is planned.

⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET or PET/CT is recommended for determining somatostatin receptor status and suitability for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy.

⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET or PET/CT is recommended for the staging of adult patients with NETs where detection of occult disease will alter the treatment options and decision making.

Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 2

- PET or PET/CT may be superior to octreoscan for all the stated indications.
- It is unknown whether pediatric patients would benefit from PET or PET/CT since there is a lack of evidence to support making any recommendation for this specific population.

Key Evidence for Recommendation 2

- In the 10 direct-comparison studies, the sensitivity of PET or PET/CT for detecting primary and/or metastatic lesions ranged from 78.3% to 100%, whereas the specificity ranged from 50% to 100% [2,7-15].
- Naswa et al [11] demonstrated that ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC PET/CT was better than conventional imaging (contrast-enhanced CT [CeCT], magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound) in detecting both the primary tumour (sensitivity, 78.3% versus 63.8%, p<0.001) and metastases (sensitivity, 97.4% versus 81.8%, p<0.001), while maintaining high specificities.
- Albanus et al [14] reported ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE PET/CT to be more sensitive and more specific than CeCT in detecting lymph node metastases (sensitivity, 92% versus 64%, p=0.0156; specificity, 83% versus 59%, p=0.0386) and bone metastases (sensitivity, 100% versus 47%, p=0.0039; specificity, 89% versus 49%, p=0.0004). ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC PET/CT was also more specific than CeCT for the detection of pulmonary metastases (95% versus 82%, p=0.0313), with equal sensitivity.
- Overall, change in management occurred in 45% (95% CI, 36% to 55%) of cases, with the majority of the changes involving surgical planning and patient selection for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy [7,10,11,13,16-20].

Interpretation of Evidence for Recommendation 2

Due to the heterogeneity of the studies in terms of diagnostic performance measure for which PET or PET/CT was indicated, the Working Group members considered a change or impact in decisions in patient management to be the most appropriate outcome. There remains the possibility that PET or PET/CT may demonstrate false-positive results that would lead to inappropriate changes in management. Nonetheless, PET or PET/CT provides superior evaluation of disease when conventional imaging is equivocal. This is particularly true in instances where a biopsy is not easily obtained.

Recommendation 3

There is no recommendation regarding the use of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET or PET/CT in the assessment of treatment response for NETs.

Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 3

• PET or PET/CT may be a good early predictor of disease progression by identifying new metastases that developed during therapy.

Key Evidence for Recommendation 3

• In one study, 46 patients with advanced NETs were investigated before and after two to

seven cycles of ⁹⁰Y-DOTA-TOC or ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-TATE. According to visual response criteria, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC PET showed no advantage over CT for assessing response to therapy (accuracy, 91.3% versus 78.3%, respectively, p=0.27) [22].

Interpretation of Evidence for Recommendation 3

Only one study evaluated the role of PET or PET/CT in the assessment of response after therapy; therefore, the evidence is currently insufficient to support the use of PET or PET/CT in this setting. Since the study utilized a dedicated PET scanner without a CT component, it is uncertain whether the integration of PET with low-dose CT (PET/CT) would significantly impact the diagnostic yield of the test.

Recommendation 4

There is no recommendation regarding the use of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET or PET/CT in the routine surveillance of NETs.

Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 4

• PET or PET/CT should not be used in place of conventional imaging for routine monitoring of asymptomatic patients with no evidence of neuroendocrine activity.

Key Evidence for Recommendation 4

• There were no studies identified that met the study selection criteria examining PET or PET/CT in the routine surveillance of NETs.

Interpretation of Evidence for Recommendation 4

The evidence is currently insufficient to support the use of PET or PET/CT in this setting.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

The Working Group members considered these recommendations to be aligned with current practices and patterns of care as well as the desire of the patient community for extended access to ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET/CT. Requests from physicians for the provision of this imaging modality for patients will be streamlined in a registry setting. As per all PET scanning services in Ontario, requests for a PET scan for clinical scenarios outside of the recommended indications can be made through the PET Access Program. Each referral is assessed on a case-by-case basis by a panel of experts.

FUTURE RESEARCH

There currently are insufficient data displaying improved outcomes in the routine use of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE/-TOC/-NOC PET/CT in surveillance and to assess treatment response. These indications should be reviewed as new data become available in the future.